POLS 4021 THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION AND CIVIL LIBERTIES Fall 2022

Professor: Stacia L. Haynie Office: 230 Stubbs E-mail: pohayn@lsu.edu Office Hours: T TH 10:30-12:00 and by appointment Department Office: 578-2141

COURSE OBJECTIVE:

This course introduces students to the development of Supreme Court policies of civil rights and liberties through its interpretation of the United States Constitution. This knowledge will provide a foundation enabling students to better understand and critically evaluate our form of government, and the political nature of the judicial process. Specifically, this course is designed to familiarize students with the behavior of the Court, through its written decisions, and its relationship to American society.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS:

Students are required to read the assignments, complete and turn in all assigned case briefs, be prepared for recitation and discussion, attend class **ON TIME AND FOR THE DURATION OF THE CLASS**, and participate in class discussions with cell phones OFF. Three examinations will be given. The exams will not be cumulative examinations. Each exam is worth 100 points. The average of all briefs, pop quizzes, and recitations and class participation (see below) are worth 100 points. The grades will be computed from the **TOTAL** of these grades as follows:

ASSIGNMENT	TOTAL POINTS	SCHEDULED DATE
Exam #1 = 100 pts	385-400 = A+	September 22, 2022
Exam #2 = 100 pts	373-384 = A	October 27, 2022
Exam #3 = 100 pts	358-372 = A-	December 8, 2022 12:30pm
Brfs/Rtn/Prtptn/Qzzs/	345-357 = B+	
Part. $= 100 \text{ pts}$	333-344 = B	
	318-332 = B-	
	305-317 = C+	
	293-304 = C	
	278-292 = C-	
	265-277 = D+	
	250-264 = D	
	237-249 = D-	
	Below $237 = F$	

The exams are scheduled for September 22, October 27 and the University prescribed final examination date which is December 8, 12:30pm-3:30pm. Makeup examinations will be for those absences necessitated by a legitimate emergency or university excused absence (see PS22). Students must contact the instructor *prior to* the examination for an excused absence. Make-up Exams will be entirely essay.

Students are expected to adhere to the Code of Student Conduct which can be located at <u>LSU</u> <u>Code of Student Conduct | Student Advocacy & Accountability</u>. Violators will be immediately referred to the Dean of Students.

REQUIRED TEXT:

Epstein, Lee and Thomas G. Walker. *Constitutional Law for a Changing America: Rights, Liberties, and Justice*. C.Q. Press. 2019

COURSE OUTLINE

I. Overview of the Courts, Epstein and Walker, pp. 3-46 (1,2,3,4) Marbury v. Madison 1803 *Ex Parte McCardle* 1869 II. Nationalization of the Bill of Rights (1) Barron v. Baltimore 1833 (2) Hurtado v. California 1884 (3) Palko v. Connecticut 1937 (4) Duncan v. Louisiana 1968 **III.** First Amendment Freedoms A. Religious Freedom A1. Free Exercise Clause (1) Cantwell v. Connecticut 1940 (2) Sherbert v. Verner 1963 (3) Wisconsin v. Yoder 1972 (4) Employment Division v. Smith 1990 Church of the Lakumi Babalu Aye Inc v. City of Hialeah 1993 A2. Establishment Clause (1) Everson v. Board of Education 1947 (2) School District of Abbington Township v. Schempp 1963 Town of Greece v. Galloway 2014 (3) Kennedy v Bremerton School District 2022 (see Moodle for this case) (4) Lemon v. Kurtzman/Early v. Dicenso 1971 Edwards v. Aguillard 1987 Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church and School v. Equal Employment **Opportunity Commission 2012 B.** Internal Security (1) Schenck v. United States 1919 Gitlow v. New York 1925 (2) Brandenburg v. Ohio 1969 USv Zubaydah 2022 C. Protest, Speech and Association (3) US v Obrien 1968 (4) Texas v. Johnson 1989 (1) West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnett 1943 (2) Janus v American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees 2018 Chaplinksy v. New Hampshire 1942

(3) Cohen v. California 1971
(4) Tinker v. Des Moines 1969
(1) McCullen v. Coakley 2014
(2) Morse v. Frederick 2007
(3) Walker v Texas Division, Sons of Confederate Veterans 2015
Matal v. Tam 2017
Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation v Public Service Commission of NY 1980
(4) Boy Scouts of America v Dale 2000

D. Freedom of the Press

(1) Near v. Minnesota 1931

(2) New York Times Company v. United States 1971

(3) Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier 1988

(4) Branzburg v. Hayes 1972

E. Libel

(1) *New York Times Company v. Sullivan* 1964 *Hustler Magazine v. Falwell* 1988

F. Obscenity

(2) Roth v. United States 1957
(3) Miller v. California 1973
(4) New York v. Ferber 1982
Reno v. ACLU 1997
Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association 2011

IV. Right to Keep and Bear Arms

District of Columbia v. Heller 2008 New York State Rifle & Pistol Association v Bruen 2022

V. Privacy Issues

(1) Griswold v. Connecticut 1965
 (2) Roe V. Wade 1973
 Planned Parenthood v. Casey 1992
 Lawrence v. Texas 2003
 (3) Obergefell v. Hodges 2015
 Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Dept. of Health 1990
 (4) Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health Organization 2022 (see Moodle for this case)

VI. Equal Protection of the Laws

A. Race

(1) Plessy v. Ferguson 1896
 (2) Sweatt v. Painter 1950
 (3) Brown v. Board of Education I 1954
 Brown v. Board of Education II 1955
 Swann v Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education 1971
 (4) Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District No. 1 2007
 (1) Loving v. Virginia 1967
 (2) Shelly v. Kraemer 1948
 (3) Moose Lodge #107 v. Irvis 1972

Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority (1961) (4) Regents of the University of California v. Bakke 1978 Fisher v. University of Texas 2016 B. Gender (1) Reed v. Reed 1971 (2) Craig v. Boren 1976 (3) US v. Virginia 1996 C. Sexual Orientation (4) Romer v. Evans 1996 *Mental Disability Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center 1985 *Economic Status San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez 1973 *Citizenship Plyer v. Doe 1982 VII. Political Equality, etc. (1) South Carolina v. Katzenbach 1966 (2) Shelby County, AL v Holder 2013 Crawford v. Marion County Election Board 2008 Reynolds v. Sims 1964 Miller v. Johnson 1995 (3) Rucho v. Common Cause 2019 (4) Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission 2010 McCutcheon, et al. v. Federal Election Commission 2014 Bush v. Gore 2000

DECIPHERING YOUR SYLLABUS

All the cases you are responsible to read are listed. This is not a listing of all cases you are to **know**. All cases referenced either in the syllabus or in the lecture are your responsibility. Each student is assigned to a group. The numbers appearing before the cases in bold represent the group to which you are assigned (see below). If you are assigned to group number 1, you must brief (see sample briefs in Moodle) and turn in all cases preceded by a 1. Group number 2 will brief only those preceded by the number 2, etc. The groups are assigned alphabetically as follows:

Group 1 - Names beginning with A through D Group 2 - Names beginning with E through M Group 3 - Names beginning with N through R Group 4 - Names beginning with S through Z

Students will be notified during lecture which cases will be covered the following class period. You must read <u>all</u> the cases, not merely those you brief. Any student may be called upon to discuss the case, not just those assigned to brief it.

Those cases that are in bold must be briefed and available to turn in on the day those cases are to be reviewed. Fourteen(14) briefs are required of each student. Pop quizzes will be given in class to ensure that all cases are read, not merely the ones for which you are individually responsible. Additionally, recitation (discussing cases individually with students) will be used in class. Each student will have 3 or 4 opportunities throughout the semester and will be graded for the response. Punctuality and attendance are important. All briefs are submitted in Moodle prior to lecture. Briefs may not be turned in late. Pop quizzes will begin promptly at the beginning of class and students arriving late will have no additional time. The lowest five grades of the brief/pop quiz/recitation grades will be dropped. Your brief/participation/recitation/quiz grade will be computed on the average of the remaining grades.